**REMARKS** 

Claims 1-2, 7-10, 15-18 and 23-26 are pending. Claim 9 is amended herein. No

new matter is added as a result of the claim amendments.

**Drawings** 

According to the instant Office Action, the drawings are objected to because they

do not have labels or legends. However, the drawings have labels and legends.

Clarification of the objection, or withdrawal of the objection, is respectfully requested.

103 Rejections

Claims 1-2, 9-10, 17-18 and 25-26

The instant Office Action states that Claims 1-2, 9-10, 17-18 and 25-26 are

rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suomela (U.S. Patent

Application Publication No. 2003/0011467) in view of Yoshizawa (U.S. Patent

Application Publication No. 2001/0036273) and further in view of Chiu (U.S. Patent

Application Publication No. 2003/0204748.

Applicants respectfully agree with the statement on page 5 the instant Office

Action that "Suomela and Yoshizawa do not specifically disclose including trusted and

non-trusted devices and distinguishing said trusted devices from non-trusted [devices]."

Chiu is cited to overcome this deficiency.

However, Applicants respectfully submit that Chiu does not qualify as prior art.

The instant application has a filing date of February 25, 2002. Chiu has a filing date of

May 20, 2002, and claims priority to a provisional application filed April 30, 2002.

PALM-3744.SG

Examiner: TORRES, M.

Serial No.: 10/083,217 Group Art Unit: 2617

8

Because these dates are after the filing date of the instant application, Chiu does not

qualify as prior art.

Consequently, the Applicants respectfully assert that the basis for rejecting

Claims 1-2, 9-10, 17-18 and 25-26 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) is traversed.

Claims 7, 15 and 23

The instant Office Action states that Claims 7, 15 and 23 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suomela in view of Yoshizawa and Chiu

and further in view of Baptist et al. ("Baptist;" U.S. Patent No. 5,465,392).

Claims 7, 15 and 23 are dependent on independent Claims 1, 9 and 17,

respectively, and recite additional limitations. As presented above, Applicants

respectfully submit that Suomela and Yoshizawa, alone or in combination, do not show

or suggest the present invention as recited in Claims 1, 9 and 17, and that Chiu does

not qualify as prior art.

Furthermore, Applicants respectfully submit that Baptist does not overcome the

shortcomings of Suomela and Yoshizawa and the absence of Chiu.

Therefore, the Applicants respectfully assert that the basis for rejecting Claims 7,

15 and 23 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) is traversed.

Examiner: TORRES, M.

Serial No.: 10/083,217 Group Art Unit: 2617

9

Claims 8, 16 and 24

The instant Office Action states that Claims 8, 16 and 24 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suomela in view of Yoshizawa and Chiu

and further in view of Sormunen et al. ("Sormunen;" U.S. Patent No. 6,112,078).

Claims 8, 16 and 24 are dependent on independent Claims 1, 9 and 17,

respectively, and recite additional limitations. As presented above, Applicants

respectfully submit that Suomela and Yoshizawa, alone or in combination, do not show

or suggest the present invention as recited in Claims 1, 9 and 17, and that Chiu does

not qualify as prior art.

Furthermore, Applicants respectfully submit that Sormunen does not overcome

the shortcomings of Suomela and Yoshizawa and the absence of Chiu.

Therefore, the Applicants respectfully assert that the basis for rejecting Claims 8,

16 and 24 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) is traversed.

**Conclusions** 

In light of the above remarks, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of

the rejected claims.

Based on the arguments presented above, Applicants respectfully assert that

Claims 1-2, 7-10, 15-18 and 23-26 overcome the rejections of record and, therefore,

Applicants respectfully solicit allowance of these claims.

Examiner: TORRES, M.

Serial No.: 10/083,217 Group Art Unit: 2617

10

The Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned representative if the Examiner believes such action would expedite resolution of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

MURABITO HAO & BARNES LLP

William A. Zarbis Reg. No. 46,120

Two North Market Street Third Floor San Jose, California 95113 (408) 938-9060